

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that users of large amounts of telephone resources can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

If the very purpose of your program is to distribute assistance to telephone users who are disadvantaged in one way or another in obtaining adequate service, it seems counter-productive to impose a new tax burden on these same, essentially subsidized, users!

It seems true that the program you administer sets out to enhance the opportunities most Americans are willing to provide to those who have a need? This form of taxation, allowing a subsidy which, in some measure, is then collected back as a tax, will eviscerate that purpose.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely, John Mills

Sincerely,

John Mills  
9th Judicial District  
c/o P.O. Box 2187  
Berkeley, California 94702-0616